

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY	Y(CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0250376 DATE: <u>6/22/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:00 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:05 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-CARD SOUND READY-MIX & BLOCK	
FACILITY LOCATION: 15900 SW 408TH ST	
FLORIDA CITY 33034	
Email: Mobile:	(561)820-8415 (561)718-7564
CONTACT NAME: EDWARD WILLIAMS PHONE: Email: Mobile:	(305)247-3011
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/23/2009 / 4/23/2014 (effective date) (end date)	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT	`Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>JEFFREY PORTER</u>	box for each question)
Brief Notes:	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER?	X YesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still EDWARD WILLIAMS? If no, who is?:	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

Emissions Unit Section 8 –CCB Plant-R-Mix silo (cement) w/baghouse dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection: 2/11/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigenissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	e following:	☐ No
control emissions?		□ No□ No□ No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		⊠ No □ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	□ No

Emissions Unit Section 9 - CCB Plant-R-Mix silo (flyash) w/baghouse dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	,	k ✓ only one each question)
Date of last inspection: 2/11/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	T	es 🔯 No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles,	.	k ☑ only one each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions t emissions by:	o control unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include on 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals who have a suppressant chemicals. 	en necessary to	es No
control emissions?	ol of the rborne	_
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate win particulate matter from stock piles?	d entrainment of	_
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop poir	nt to the truck? Y	es 🛛 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 10 –CCB Plant-R-Mix silo (slag) w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 2/11/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(ahaali 🖊 anlu ana
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and	(check 🗹 only one box for each question) nd Yards
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by:	control unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include on 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals whe control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airly particulate matter?	entrainment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point	to the truck? Yes No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No

Emissions Unit Section 14 –CCB Plant-R-Mix trk loadoutw/cent.cartr.dust collector(new) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each of	
Date of last inspection: 2/11/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ✓	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each	•
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	NoNoNoNo
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
				ļ
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNo
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception o units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane.		<u> < 1.00</u> ?	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes	□ No
_		<u> </u>		
<u>GI</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS	-		
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?	- 🔲	Yes	⊠ No
۷.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\square	Ves	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		105	□ 140
	permit and Department rules?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	· ·	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plar		. ,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c beloware. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departme e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busines	ow.) nt or Local Air Program by telephone,	□ No
 b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least 	Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] business days following a relocation? Yes otification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routing If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how leads to the purpose of the purpose of the purpose of the purpose.	s unit in that separate permit: ne purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes	☐ No
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CITANCES		
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check \(\bar{\psi}\)	
Administrative Changes:	box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30	box for each per of the facility or authorized representative not administrative change at the facility? Yes	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor	box for each ber of the facility or authorized representative not exaction of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change?	h question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replaces c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	box for each ser of the facility or authorized representative not scation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change?	h question) No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each ser of the facility or authorized representative not scation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change?	h question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each ser of the facility or authorized representative not scation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change?	h question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each box for each box for each box for each ber of the facility or authorized representative not box for any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change? Yes	h question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each box for each per of the facility or authorized representative not acation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change? Yes ment? Yes substantially different? Yes Yes estration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes 6/22/2011	h question) No No No No No No No

COMMENTS: ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CONDUCTED VISIBLE EMISSIONS OBSERVATIONS ON THREE (3) EMISSIONS POINTS. THE SINGLE SLAG SILO WAS NOT TESTED TODAY, IT WILL BE TESTED AT A LATER DATE. THERE WAS A PROBLEM WITH THE DUST COLLECTOR. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE VE TESTS. THE FIRST VE TEST STARTED AT 10:01 AM. THE SILOS WERE LOADED AT APPROXIMATELY 10 PSI.

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.

THE ROCK QUARRY WAS NOT OPERATIONAL TODAY. IT OPERATES ONLY ONCE PER WEEK.